

Revolutionizing Equity Strategies for a New Frontier

Key Ideas

Today's investors are navigating an increasingly unpredictable market environment, facing challenges their predecessors never had to contend with. Traditional influences such as economic cycles, geopolitical uncertainty, algorithmic trading, and market sentiment contribute to this new world. However, the challenges are particularly acute as their impact on short-term market cycles makes it increasingly difficult to capture long-term alpha, navigate sudden spikes in volatility, and preserve portfolio diversification.

In this paper, we will:

- Demonstrate increasing and time-varying volatility, discussing the implications of today's changing volatility profile.
- Examine the recent wave of drawdowns and why it's important to prepare for the frequency, magnitude, and duration of these phenomena.
- Illustrate how shifting correlations are undermining diversification objectives.
- Present a risk paradigm for equity portfolios that seeks to address a new generation of market challenges.

UNCORRELATED ANSWERS®

Richard Yasenchak, CFA

Senior Managing Director,
Head of Client Portfolio Management



The financial landscape has evolved dramatically in recent years, presenting investors with a unique set of challenges and complexities that require innovative strategies to navigate. As traditional equity portfolios encounter difficulties in adapting to these new market conditions, it becomes increasingly important to explore alternative approaches that deliver more resilient alpha in today's market environment.

This paper delves into the complexities of modern markets, examining three time-varying risks: volatility, drawdowns, and cross-asset class correlations. We aim to provide valuable insights into each and present an innovative risk management framework capable of systematically adjusting to these environments and delivering alpha in all seasons.

Volatility Undercurrents are Menacing

Volatility today is different from what investment professionals used to manage. The median standard deviation of rolling one-year S&P 500 returns in the post-WWII period has been 12.6% (Figure 1). However, volatility has risen over the decades, with median volatility increasing from 10.3% between 1950-1980 to 15.7% since the turn of the millennium (Figure 2).

FIGURE 1
STANDARD DEVIATION OF ROLLING 252-DAY S&P 500 RETURNS
S&P 500 rolling 252-day standard deviation 1950-01-01 to 2022-12-31. Source: FactSet.





FIGURE 2
INCREASING VOLATILITY ACROSS GENERATIONS
Median S&P 500 rolling 252-day standard deviation 1950-01-01 to 2022-12-31. Source: FactSet.




Past performance does not predict future returns.

Increasing Short-term Volatility

Higher long-term volatility has been accompanied by increased short-term volatility. Managing these episodes can be challenging without hedges that impair upside capture and lead to excessive trading expenses. The frequency of daily returns exceeding two standard deviations (equal to about $\pm 2\%$ returns) has increased four-fold since the 1980-2000 period, making up 9% of trading days since 2000.

We'll concede that risk-seeking investors may be comfortable with navigating two-standard deviation events; however, daily returns exceeding one standard deviation (equal to about $\pm 1\%$ returns) have also been marching higher. Below are the percentage of trading days in each calendar year with $\pm 1\%$ returns (see Figure 3). Over 50% of the trading days in 2022 moved $\pm 1\%$.

FIGURE 3
PERCENT OF TRADING DAYS WITH $\pm 1\%$ RETURNS ON THE RISE
S&P 500 daily returns from 1950-01-01 to 2022-12-31. Source: FactSet.



Past performance does not predict future returns.


Time-Varying Volatility Cycles

Comparing rolling 252-day and 20-day standard deviations of daily returns (Figure 4) shows the increasing short-term volatility spikes relative to longer-term volatility cycles. Traditional equity risk management techniques may be inadequate to address both cycles efficiently while still delivering alpha, as they could require higher trading frequency or detract from capturing upside opportunities.

FIGURE 4

SHORT- VS. LONG-TERM VOLATILITY

S&P 500 rolling 252-day vs. 20-day standard deviation 1950-01-01 to 2022-12-31. Source: FactSet.




Past performance does not predict future returns.

Drawdown Dynamics are New


The past few years have seen sharp and short equity drawdowns in rapid succession, prompting investors to consider a potential shift in market dynamics. Examining the S&P 500 Index, there has been an increase in drawdowns greater than 10% in recent years (Figure 5). Since 2015, drawdowns have also been more dramatic, with shorter durations from peak to trough and back (Figure 6).

FIGURE 5
S&P 500 INDEX DRAWDOWNS GREATER THAN 10%
1950-2022. Source: FactSet.



Past performance does not predict future returns.


FIGURE 6
TRADING DAYS TO MAX DRAWDOWN VS. RECOVERY TIME
S&P 500 Index Drawdowns Greater Than 10%, 1950-2022. Source: FactSet.



Past performance does not predict future returns.

Surprisingly, these recent drawdowns have seen steep declines and equally steep rebounds, making their durations shorter than expected. On average, drawdowns since 2015 have taken about 5.2 days per percentage point of max drawdown, compared to 9 days between 1950-2014 (Figure 7). Recoveries have also been faster, at 4.3 days per percentage point versus 14 days in the earlier period.

FIGURE 7
DRAWDOWN AND RECOVERY DAYS PER PERCENTAGE POINT
S&P 500 Index Drawdowns Greater Than 10%, 1950-2022. Source: FactSet.



Past performance does not predict future returns.

The primary causes for drawdowns since 2015 have been external factors rather than structural issues within financial assets. Both declines in the early part of this century took much longer to play out as various dominos continued to fall, and commensurately markets took several years to return to their previous highs. More recent, rapid recoveries pose challenges – especially for defensive strategies – as active managers may struggle to adapt quickly enough.

Portfolio Implications

Significant equity drawdowns, regardless of duration, contribute a significant source of risk to most portfolios and the potential for loss of capital. Typical responses to these drawdowns include changing capital market assumptions and making tactical or strategic portfolio changes. These responses come with their own baggage and may do little to navigate drawdowns, as asset class correlations often break down when they're needed most.

Frequent allocation adjustments in response to drawdowns are impractical, requiring collaboration and communication across investment teams, consultants, and trustees. Most investors will continue to need significant equity allocations; unfortunately, traditional equity strategies may be ill-suited for these swiftly changing markets.

Cross-Asset Class Correlations in Flux

Changes in intra- and cross-asset interdependencies can undermine diversification and return consistency. Identifying and quantifying co-movements within and across asset classes is essential for portfolio diversification. However, historical relationships evolve and can break down over the short term. In response, investors have added more esoteric, less-liquid asset classes, which may have diminishing returns and potential blind spots.

Time-Varying Stock Correlations

Stock correlations change over time due to factors like globalization, economic conditions, and market sentiment. Short-term changes in correlations can erase diversification benefits, typically occurring at inopportune times. Figure 8A shows rising cross-border correlations and Figure 8B illustrates the range of 1-year correlations.

FIGURE 8A
RISING U.S. - NON-U.S. CORRELATIONS
Source: FactSet; S&P 500 and MSCI EAFE Indexes (1970-2022)





FIGURE 8B
RANGE OF 1-YR CORRELATIONS



Factor diversifiers can offer more range-bound long-term correlations, as seen in Figure 9A. However, these correlations can also be subject to extreme short-term dislocations (Figure 9B).

FIGURE 9A
HISTORICAL FACTOR CORRELATIONS
Source: French, K. R. U.S. Research Returns Data (1978-2022).
Kenneth R. French - Description of Fama/French factors.Retrieved February 28, 2023, from http://mba.tuck.dartmouth.edu/pages/faculty/ken.french/Data_Library/f-f_factors.html

SIZE-VALUE









FIGURE 9B
RANGE OF 1-YR CORRELATIONS



SIZE-MOMENTUM



VALUE-MOMENTUM




Pairwise Stock Correlations

During market drawdowns, equity investors face rising pairwise correlations, as shown in Figure 10. Yet, these environments are exactly when you need diversification, making drawdown mitigation only possible with opportunistic hedging.

FIGURE 10

ROLLING 250-DAY PAIRWISE CORRELATIONS

Source: FactSet; S&P 500 unweighted 250-day pairwise correlations (November 1984 - December 2022)



Time-Varying Cross-Asset Correlations

Diversification across asset classes can help mitigate capital losses, but cross-asset class correlations are affected by various factors. Traditional bond, commodity, and currency diversification benefits have become less predictable, as shown in Figures 11A, 12A, and 13A. One-year correlations have varied widely, as seen in Figures 11B, 12B, and 13B. What's worked in the past won't necessarily work in the future, especially over the short term, and most investors don't have policy allocations with that kind of variability.

Cross-asset class diversification offers risk reduction and return enhancement but can be challenging to implement and manage. Each asset class and market has unique risk and return characteristics, requiring specialized expertise. What's more, liquidity and governance costs make adjusting allocations as market conditions change impractical.

FIGURE 11A

HISTORICAL STOCK-BOND CORRELATIONS

Source: FactSet; S&P 500 and Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Bond Indexes (1978-2022)




FIGURE 11B


RANGE OF 1-YR CORRELATIONS

FIGURE 12A

HISTORICAL STOCK-COMMODITY CORRELATIONS

Source: FactSet; S&P 500 and S&P GSCI Indexes (1978-2022)




FIGURE 12B


RANGE OF 1-YR CORRELATIONS

FIGURE 13A

HISTORICAL STOCK-DOLLAR INDEX CORRELATIONS

Source: FactSet; S&P 500 and S&P GSCI Indexes (1978-2022)





FIGURE 13B

RANGE OF 1-YR CORRELATIONS

Revolution in Equity Strategies: Navigating Time-Varying Risks


In the face of unprecedented challenges characterized by time-varying risks — heightened volatility, frequent drawdowns, and shifting cross-asset correlations — traditional risk management tools fall short of delivering alpha without compromising risk management. Consequently, investors must explore innovative approaches that can adapt to the complexities of the modern market environment.

Alpha with Resiliency

Innovation should focus on delivering equity alpha in all risk regimes — an all-season equity strategy that systematically adjusts exposures in response to short- and long-term risk cycles and offers convexity to hedge tail-risk events. We believe a 5% cash allocation from an equity strategy to collateralize a multi-asset, exchange-traded futures strategy can fulfill this objective (Figure 14).

By meticulously integrating managed futures into equity strategies, this approach potentially provides investors with a robust, versatile, and responsive portfolio, redefining how they manage risk and achieve their investment objectives in today’s challenging markets.

FIGURE 14
NEW PARADIGM FOR RISK MANAGEMENT



This subtle combination provides the time-varying risk management required for today’s markets while retaining the performance contours of a typical large-cap core equity strategy. Moreover, it has the potential to create a risk and return profile superior to what each component could create separately.

Convexity in Tail-Risk Events

The relationship between equities and managed futures is convex, which means that as one asset class experiences losses, the other asset class may experience gains (Figure 15). This non-linear relationship offers several potential benefits for investors, including better diversification, greater risk reduction, and improved risk-adjusted returns.

FIGURE 15
ROLLING 3-MO EQUITY RETURNS VS. MANAGED FUTURES RETURNS
2000-01-01 to 2022-12-31¹



¹. Managed futures index is the SG CTA Index. Source: BarclayHedge for SG CTA Index and MSCI for MSCI World Index. The data presented reflects past performance, which does not predict future returns. For illustrative purposes only.

By including managed futures in an equity portfolio, investors increase diversification within and across asset classes, helping reduce equity and overall portfolio risk while potentially improving returns. This convex relationship can also help improve the stability and resilience of the equity portfolio, particularly in periods of market stress. And because managed futures strategies are designed to take advantage of market inefficiencies and mispricing, their integration can potentially lead to enhanced outcomes.

Integration, Not Overlays

Instead of integrating equity and managed futures strategies, investors might consider a managed futures overlay. The latter approach theoretically seeks the same outcome, but in practice, we believe it's not as practical as an integrated solution (Figure 16) for several reasons:

Performance Efficiency: An overlay may not be as responsive to changes in market conditions as an integrated strategy, limiting potential benefits. By combining equities and managed futures within a single structure, the integrated approach allows for a more dynamic response to evolving market risks.


Cost-Effectiveness: Integrating equities and managed futures strategies can lower implementation costs, as the portfolio manager can manage both portfolio components within the same structure. This can potentially reduce trading costs and simplify the overall investment process.

Capital Efficiency: An integrated approach uses margin more effectively. The margin requirements for managed futures can be offset by the margin available in the equity portion of the portfolio, providing more efficient use of capital. This advantage is unavailable in an overlay structure, where the margin for managed futures is separate from the equity component, potentially leading to higher margin requirements and less efficient capital utilization.

Governance Cost: An integrated solution potentially reduces governance costs by streamlining decision-making processes, minimizing multiple layers required for oversight, and mitigating or eliminating tactical decisions.

FIGURE 16

EQUITY FUSION: INTEGRATING EQUITIES AND MANAGED FUTURES



Modernize Your Equity Strategies

An innovative and resilient approach to equity investing is needed to navigate modern market risks. Integrating managed futures into equity strategies has the potential to create a robust, all-season portfolio that adjusts to short- and long-term risk cycles. This fusion not only potentially offers better diversification and potential risk reduction but also enhances the portfolio's resilience during periods of market stress. As the investment landscape continues to evolve, adopting a forward-thinking strategy that addresses the challenges of today's markets is crucial for long-term investing success.

Conclusion

Equity investors today face unprecedented challenges in an unpredictable market environment, characterized by time-varying risks such as heightened volatility, frequent drawdowns, and shifting cross-asset correlations. Traditional risk management tools often fall short in addressing these complexities without negatively impacting alpha, so investors must look towards innovative approaches that can adapt to the evolving landscape.

Our paper highlights the importance of addressing time-varying risks and presents a compelling case for integrating managed futures into equity strategies. This integration creates a versatile, all-season portfolio that systematically adjusts to short- and long-term risk cycles, offering convexity to hedge tail-risk events. By combining equities and managed futures, investors can potentially achieve better diversification, risk reduction, and improved risk-adjusted returns.

Furthermore, based on our demonstrations, an integrated approach is more capital-efficient, performance-efficient, and cost-effective than a managed futures overlay, ultimately simplifying the investment process and optimizing outcomes.

In this era of market uncertainty, it is crucial for investors to adopt forward-thinking strategies that address the challenges of today's markets while maintaining the potential for long-term success. By embracing the integration of managed futures in equity strategies, investors are better positioned to navigate the complexities of the modern financial landscape and achieve their investment objectives.

Disclaimer

This information is intended to be educational and is not tailored to the investment needs of any specific investor. The views presented are for general informational purposes only and are not intended as investment advice, as an offer or solicitation of an offer to sell or buy, or as an endorsement, recommendation, or sponsorship of any company, security, advisory service, or fund nor do they purport to address the financial objectives or specific investment needs of any individual reader, investor, or organization.

This information should not be used as the sole basis for investment decisions. The appropriateness of a particular investment or strategy will depend on an investor's individual circumstances and objectives. All content is presented by the date(s) published or indicated only, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or other reasons. You should not rely on this information as the primary basis for your investment, financial, or tax planning decisions. While every attempt is made to ensure that all information is accurate, there is no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy and completeness of the statements or any information contained herein. Any liability therefore (including in respect of direct, indirect, or consequential loss or damage) is expressly disclaimed. The views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, are current as of the date of this publications, and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons.

Information that is based on past performance or observations is not necessarily a guide to future returns and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Any future-looking statements and/or projections are based on current beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available.

An index is unmanaged, is not available for direct investment, and does not reflect the deduction of management fees or other expenses.

Past performance does not guarantee future results. Investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal and fluctuation of value. The value of an investment may go down as well as up and you may not get back what you originally invested. Nothing herein is intended to or should be construed as advice.

Investing in futures is speculative, involves substantial risk, and is not suitable for all investors and is for designated institutional investors who have the resources and financial expertise to understand the risks and limitations of such a strategy.

MSCI makes no express or implied warranties or representations and shall have no liability whatsoever with respect to any MSCI data shown. The MSCI data may not be further redistributed or used as a basis for other indices or any securities or financial products. This information has not been approved, reviewed, or produced by MSCI.

The S&P data has been licensed for use Intech and may not be further redistributed or used as a basis for other indices or any securities or financial products. This material has not been approved, reviewed, or produced by S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. For more information on any of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC's indices, please visit www.spdji.com.

Intech is not permitted to offer products and services in all countries and not all products or services are available in all jurisdictions. This material or information contained in it may be restricted by law, may not be reproduced or referred to without express written permission or used in any jurisdiction or circumstance in which its use would be unlawful. Intech is not responsible for any unlawful distribution of this material to any third parties, in whole or in part. The contents of this material have not been approved or endorsed by any regulatory agency.

In Australia, this information is issued by Intech Investment Management LLC (Intech) and is intended solely for the use of wholesale clients, as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and is not for general public distribution. Intech is permitted to provide certain financial services to wholesale clients pursuant to an exemption from the need to hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act 2001. Intech is regulated by the United States Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC) under U.S. laws, which differ from Australian laws. By receiving this information you represent that you are a wholesale client.

Intech is a private, employee-owned quantitative asset manager investing on behalf of pension funds, governments, endowments, foundations, and other institutional investors worldwide. Having pioneered the application of Stochastic Portfolio Theory in 1987, Intech continues to seek distinctive alpha sources for clients in alternative equity, defensive equity, sustainable equity, and traditional long-only strategies. Please visit www.intechinvestments.com for more information.

Main Office

Intech Investments, LLC
250 South Australian Avenue, Suite 1700
West Palm Beach, FL, 33401
United States of America
+1-561-775-1100

